## **Five Levels of Professional Development Evaluation**

<b>Evaluation Level</b>	What questions are addressed?	How will information be	What is measured or assessed?	How will information be used?
1. Participants' reaction	<ul> <li>Was the facilitator knowledgeable and helpful?</li> <li>Did you have the opportunity during the session to effectively practice or apply the concepts provided?</li> <li>Did the session activities facilitate the sharing of work experiences among participants?</li> <li>Did the session materials contribute to your learning during the session?</li> <li>Were the facilities and equipment conducive to learning?</li> <li>Were the stated session objectives met?</li> <li>In terms of preparing you to do your job better, how would you rate the overall quality of the session?</li> </ul>	gathered?  Questionnaires administered at end of a session Focus groups Interviews Personal learning logs	Initial satisfaction with the experience	To improve program design and delivery
2. Participants' learning	<ul> <li>Did the participants acquire the intended knowledge &amp; skills?</li> <li>Did participants' attitudes, beliefs or dispositions change?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Paper-and-pencil instruments, including self assessments and tests</li> <li>Simulations &amp; demonstrations</li> <li>Participant reflections</li> <li>Participant portfolios</li> <li>Case study analyses</li> </ul>	New knowledge and skills of participants	To improve program content, format and organization
3. Organization support & change	<ul> <li>Was implementation advocated, facilitated, and supported?</li> <li>Was the support public and overt?</li> <li>Were problems addressed quickly &amp; efficiently?</li> <li>Were sufficient resources allocated?</li> <li>Were successes recognized and shared?</li> <li>What was the impact on the organization?</li> <li>Did it affect the organization's climate and procedures?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>District and school records</li> <li>Minutes from follow-up meetings</li> <li>Questionnaires</li> <li>Structured interviews with participants and district/ school administrators</li> <li>Participant portfolios</li> </ul>	The organization's advocacy, support, accommodation, facilitation, and recognition  The organization's advocacy, support, accommodation, and recognition.	<ul> <li>To document and improve organizational support</li> <li>To inform future change efforts</li> </ul>
4. Participants' use of new knowledge & skills	<ul> <li>Did participants effectively apply the new knowledge and skills?</li> <li>Did teachers' instructional practice change?</li> <li>Are the teachers consistently applying the knowledge &amp; skills?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Questionnaires</li> <li>Structured interviews with participants and their supervisors</li> <li>Participant portfolios</li> <li>Participant reflections</li> <li>Direct observations</li> <li>Video or audio tapes</li> </ul>	Degree and quality of implementation	To document & improve the implementation of program content
5. Student learning outcomes	<ul> <li>What was the impact on students?</li> <li>Did it affect student performance or achievement?</li> <li>Did it influence students' physical or emotional well-being?</li> <li>Are students more confident as learners?</li> <li>Is student attendance improving?</li> <li>Are dropouts decreasing?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student records</li> <li>School records</li> <li>Questionnaires</li> <li>Structured interviews with students, parents, teachers, and/or administrators</li> <li>Participant portfolios</li> </ul>	Student learning: Cognitive (performance & achievement) Affective (attitudes & dispositions) Psychomotor (skills & behaviors) Student participation & attendance	<ul> <li>To focus &amp; improve all aspects of program design, implementation, and follow-up</li> <li>To demonstrate the overall impact of professional development</li> </ul>

Adapted from a handout by Thomas R. Guskey shared at NCREL's Annual Meeting, 2002

Porter Center @ NCREL with ASCD